## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

**District of New Mexico** 

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

**Judgment in a Criminal Case** 

(For **Revocation** of Probation or Supervised Release)

Jose Fierro-Onofre

Case Number: 1:12CR02122-001JB

USM Number: 28714-280

Defense Attorney: Phillip Sapien, Appointed

THE DEFEN	NDAN I:		
	· · ·		
The defendar	nt is adjudicated guilty of these violations:		
Violation	Nature of Violation	Violation Ended	
<b>Number</b> 1	Mandatory - The defendant committee	another federal, state, or local crime. 06/28/2012	
The defendar Reform Act o	1 1 0	igh 3 of this judgment. The sentence is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing	
☐ The defe	endant has not violated condition(s) and	is discharged as to such violation(s).	
name, resider	nce, or mailing address until all fines, restit	otify the United States attorney for this district within 30 days of any change of aution, costs, and special assessments imposed by this judgment are fully paid. I court and United States attorney of material changes in economic circumstances	
None		January 3, 2013	
Last Four Digits of Defendant's Soc. Sec. No.		Date of Imposition of Judgment	
1979		/s/ James O. Browning	
Defendant's Year of Birth		Signature of Judge	
Aldama, Chihuahua, MX		Honorable James O. Browning United States District Judge	
City and State of Defendant's Residence		Name and Title of Judge	
		January 9, 2013	
		Date Signed	

## Case 1:12-cr-02122-JB Document 18 Filed 01/09/13 Page 2 of 3

AO 245D (Rev. 12/10) Judgment in a Criminal Case for Revocations Sheet 2 Imprisonment

Judgment Page 2 of 3

Defendant: Jose Fierro-Onofre
Case Number: 1:12CR02122-001JB

## **IMPRISONMENT**

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of 4 months.

Said term shall run concurrently to the sentence imposed in Case No.: 1:12CR-2486-001JB.

A term of supervised release will not be reimposed.

Although advisory, the Court has considered the Guidelines and, in arriving at its sentence, has taken account of the Guidelines with other sentencing goals. Specifically, the Court has considered the Guidelines` sentencing range established for the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of Defendant. The Court believes that the Guidelines? punishment is appropriate for this sort of offense. Therefore, the sentence in this judgment is consistent with a guideline sentence. The Court has considered the kind of sentence and range established by the Guidelines. The Court believes that a sentence of 4 months reflects the seriousness of the offense, promotes respect for the law, provides just punishment, affords adequate deterrence, protects the public, avoids unwarranted sentencing disparities among similarly situated defendants, effectively provides the Defendant with needed education or vocational training and medical care, and otherwise fully reflects each of the factors embodied in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a). The Court also believes the sentence is reasonable. The Court believes the sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act.

×	The court makes these recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:
	La Tuna Federal Correctional Institution, Anthony, New Mexico-Texas, if eligible
	The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal.  The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district:  at on  as notified by the United States Marshal.  The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons:  before 2 p.m. on  as notified by the United States Marshal  as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office.
	RETURN
I hav	re executed this judgment as follows:
Defe	endant delivered ontotothis judgment.
	UNITED STATES MARSHAL

Case 1:12-cr-02122-JB Document 18 Filed 01/09/13 Page 3 of 3

Ву

DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL